Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/787,037	SUGIYAMA, AKIRA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
KARLA MOORE	1792		

	KARLA MOORE	1792		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited eal (with appeal fee) in compliance w	Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection	n. LED WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on thortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.12) The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally rejected and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non-Coresponding	E below); lucing or simplifying the cted claims. In the cted claims is the cted claims. In the cted claims is the cted claims	ne issues for PTOL-324).	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a	
10.	n or the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ea.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:	
 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>0908</u>			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Proposed amendments to claim 7 would present a claim of altered scope which has not been addressed in the examination of the application up to this point and therefore would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 13. Other:

Regarding Applicant's comments concerning foreign priority: Examiner notes that the failure to re-acknowledge Applicant's priority was an oversight. Examiner still considers Applicant's claim(s) to foreign priority valid.

Regarding U.S. Patent 5,198,724: the failure to cite the patent on an 892 was in advertent. It is cited on an attached 892.

Regarding the IDS filed 5 September 2008, which was filed after final rejection and therefore in order to be considered proper should have been filed under 37 CFR 1.97(d): The IDS has not been considered since it does not contain the appropriate fee AND statement as required by 1.97(d-e). Further, Examiner notes that the IDS indicates that the statement is being filed uner 1.97(c) AND 1.97(d). See page 4 of the submission. This is believed to be an error. As set forth above, it appears that the statement should have been filed under 1.97(d).